COMMUTATIVE HAIRY GRAPHS AND REPRESENTATIONS OF $Out(F_r)$

VICTOR TURCHIN AND THOMAS WILLWACHER

ABSTRACT. We express the hairy graph complexes computing the rational homotopy groups of long embeddings (modulo immersion) of \mathbb{R}^m in \mathbb{R}^n as "decorated" graph complexes associated to certain representations of the outer automorphism groups of free groups. This interpretation gives rise to a natural spectral sequence, which allows us to shed some light on the structure of the hairy graph cohomology. We also explain briefly the connection to the deformation theory of the little discs operads and some conclusions that this brings.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider complexes of linear combinations of isomorphism classes of graphs with external legs (or "hairs"), such as the following.

(1)

The differential on these complexes is defined by summing over all ways of expanding a (non-hair-)vertex. More precisely, due to choices in signs and degrees, the hairy graph complexes come in several variants (which we denote HGC_{*m,n*}), depending on a pair of integers *m*, *n*. For more details, see section 4.3 below. The hairy graph homology $H(\text{HGC}_{m,n})$ is an object of significant interest in algebraic topology, since it computes the rational homotopy groups of spaces of long embeddings $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ modulo immersions in codimensions $n - m \ge 3$ as has been shown in [11].¹ However, our current knowledge of the hairy graph homology is rather limited. Let us just note that since the differential cannot alter the number of loops or hairs of graphs, the complexes HGC_{*m,n*} split into finite dimensional subcomplexes HGC^{*r,h*} of fixed loop number *r*, and fixed number of hairs *h*. Furthermore, up to unimportant degree shifts, the complexes HGC_{*m,n*} depend on *m* and *n* only through their parity, so that there are only four essentially different cases to consider. Finally, the complexes HGC_{*m,n*} carry a natural Lie bracket.

The purpose of this paper is twofold. First, we shed some light on the structure of the hairy graph homology. Secondly, we show that the complexes $HGC_{m,n}$ may be replaced by somewhat simpler quasi-isomorphic complexes.

To this end let us recall a more geometric approach to defining many types of graph complexes. Culler and Vogtmann [8] defined the so called *outer space* OS_r whose points are isomorphism classes of metrized *r*-loop graphs, i.e., graphs with a non-negative length assigned to each edge, such that the combined length of any closed loop is positive.² A metrized graph is identified with the metrized graph obtained by contracting all edges of zero lengths.

The space OS_r plays a role similar to the classifying space of the group of outer automorphisms of the free group on *r* generators F_r . In particular, a representation *V* of $Out(F_r)$ determines a local system on OS_r . For any such local system one may write down a "decorated" graph complex GC_V^r computing the compactly supported cohomology on OS_r with values in the local system.

Now we are ready to state our main results. We begin with the case of even codimension n - m. Let \mathbb{K} be a field of characteristic zero. Abusing notation we also denote by \mathbb{K} the trivial one-dimensional representation of $Out(F_r)$. We denote by H_1 the *r*-representation of $Out(F_r)$ obtained by pulling back the canonical representation of $GL(r, \mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{K}^r under the map $Out(F_r) \to GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Finally, we define the one-dimensional representation $Det = \wedge^r H_1$.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 57R40; 18D50; 13D03; 19D55.

Key words and phrases. Graph-complexes, spaces of long embeddings, little discs operads, groups of outer automorphisms of free groups. V.T. acknowledges partial support by the MPIM, Bonn, and the IHES. T.W. acknowledges partial support by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant 200021_150012) and the SwissMap NCCR, funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

¹For a weaker range of dimensions this result has been shown earlier in [22, 2].

²We note that our notation OS_r is slightly inconsistent with that Culler and Vogtmann, in that their outer space is contractible and comes with an action of $Out(F_r)$, while our OS_r is the quotient under this action. Unfortunately, Culler and Vogtmann did not coin a catchy name for this quotient.

Theorem 1. Let n - m be even. The complex $HGC_{m,n}^{r,h}$, $r \ge 2$, $h \ge 1$, admits a splitting into a direct sum of two complexes $HGC_{m,n}^{r,h,I} \oplus HGC_{m,n}^{r,h,I}$ such that in the homology one gets the following splitting:

• if n is even, then

(4)

(3)
$$H(\text{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,h}) \cong H(\text{GC}_{\text{Det}\otimes S^{h}H_{1}}^{r})[nr + (h-1)(n-m-2) - 2] \oplus H(\text{GC}_{\text{Det}\otimes S^{h-1}H_{1}}^{r})[nr + (h-1)(n-m-2) - 1].$$

In both cases the Lie bracket with the graph

sends the subcomplex $\operatorname{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,h,I}$ isomorphically to $\operatorname{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,h+1,II}$ (in the homology it maps the repeated summands $H(\operatorname{GC}_{S^{h}H_{1}}^{r})$, or respectively, $H(\operatorname{GC}_{\operatorname{Det}\otimes S^{h}H_{1}}^{r})$, $h \geq 1$, identically one onto another)³ and sends $\operatorname{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,h,II}$ to zero.

If the number n - m is odd, the story is more complicated in that we need to consider representations of $Out(F_r)$ that do not factor through $GL(r, \mathbb{Z})$. The representations we need are described in [24], from which we recall the following. Let *A* be a commutative algebra. Then the group $Out(F_r)$ acts on the Hochschild-Pirashvili homology of *A* on a wedge of *r* circles W_r . If *A* is graded, then the $Out(F_r)$ action restricts to each graded component of the Hochschild-Pirashvili homology. We need the case of *A* being the 2-dimensional graded algebra (the dual numbers)

$$A = \mathbb{K}[x]/x^2 = \mathbb{K}1 \oplus \mathbb{K}x$$

with x in degree 0. This algebra carries an auxiliary grading by assigning x degree 1. We let B_r^h be the respresentation of $Out(F_r)$ on the piece of auxiliary degree h and homological degree h of the Hochschild-Pirashvili homology of A on a wedge on r circles. These representations may be explicitly computed and have small dimensions, see [24] for a more detailed discussion.⁴ With this preparation we can state our second main result.

Theorem 2. Let n - m be odd. The complex HGC^{*r*,*h*}_{*m*,*n*}, $r \ge 2$, $h \ge 1$, admits a decreasing filtration (by defect)

(5)
$$\operatorname{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,h} = F_0^{r,h} \supset F_1^{r,h} \supset F_2^{r,h} \supset \ldots \supset F_h^{r,h} \supset F_{h+1}^{r,h} = 0$$

such that all the terms $F_i^{r,h}/F_{i+1}^{r,h}$, $i \ge 2$, are acyclic. Thus the first term E_1 of the spectral sequence associated with this filtration has only two columns $E_1^{0*} = H(F_0^{r,h}/F_1^{r,h})$ and $E_1^{1*} = H(F_1^{r,h}/F_2^{r,h})$ described as follows:

• if n is even, then

(6)
$$E_1^{0*} \oplus E_1^{1*} = H(\operatorname{GC}_{B_r^h}^r)[nr + (h-1)(n-m-2) - 2] \oplus \begin{cases} H(\operatorname{GC}^r)[nr-1] & \text{for } h = 1\\ 0 & \text{for } h = 2;\\ H(\operatorname{GC}_{B_r^{h-2}}^r)[nr + (h-1)(n-m-2) - 1] & \text{for } h \ge 3 \end{cases}$$

• if n is odd, then

$$(7) \ E_1^{0*} \oplus E_1^{1*} = H(\operatorname{GC}_{\operatorname{Det}\otimes B_r^h}^r)[nr+(h-1)(n-m-2)-2] \oplus \begin{cases} H(\operatorname{GC}_{\operatorname{Det}}^r)[nr-1] & \text{for } h = 1\\ 0 & \text{for } h = 2\\ H(\operatorname{GC}_{\operatorname{Det}\otimes B_r^{h-2}}^r)[nr+(h-1)(n-m-2)-1] & \text{for } h \ge 3 \end{cases}$$

The differential $d_1: E_1^{0*} \to E_1^{1*}$ is trivial for $h \leq 2$. In both cases the Lie bracket with the tripod graph

$$(8) T =$$

maps $F_i^{r,h}$ in $F_{i+1}^{r,h+2}$ thus inducing a map between the corresponding spectral sequences. The induced map sends the column E_1^{0*} of the (r,h) component identically to the column E_1^{1*} of the (r,h+2) component (identifying the two repeated summands $H(GC_{B_r}^r)$, or respectively, $H(GC_{Det\otimes B_r}^r)$, $h \ge 1$), and it sends the column E_1^{1*} to zero.

In fact, we conjecture that the spectral sequence abuts at the E_1 page, so that the hairy graph homology is in fact isomorphic to the expressions in the Theorem. In case of even n - m, the hairy complexes have a similar filtration by defect. The splitting of Theorem 1 implies the collapse of the associated spectral sequence at the first page E_1 in this case.

³Note that the Lie bracket with L raises the number of hairs h by one.

⁴In fact, these examples yield the smallest known representations of $Out(F_r)$ not factoring through GL(r, Z).

Structure of the paper. In section 3 we recall some facts about the Hochschild-Pirashvili homology that will be crucial for our work. In section 4 we define the decorated graph complexes. Finally, section 6 contains the proofs of our main results Theorems 1 and 2, along with some concluding remarks.

Acknowledgements. We thank B. Fresse for helpful discussions. V.T. thanks the MPIM, Bonn, and the IHES, where he spent his sabbatical and where he was working on this project, for a partial support and hospitality. T.W. has been partially supported by the Swiss National Science foundation, grant 200021_150012, and the SwissMAP NCCR funded by the Swiss National Science foundation.

2. NOTATION

We work over a ground field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero unless otherwise stated. All vector spaces are assumed to be vector spaces over the ground field \mathbb{K} . Graded vector spaces are vector spaces with a \mathbb{Z} -grading, and we abbreviate the phrase "differential graded" by dg as usual. We generally use in cohomological conventions, i.e., the differentials will have degree +1. In particular the grading that we use for the hairy graph-complex is reversed compared to the grading of the rational homotopy groups of the spaces of long embeddings $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ modulo immersions.⁵

3. Recollection: Higher Hochschild Homology

Let Fin be the category of finite sets. A right Fin-module is a contravariant functor Fin $\rightarrow dg$ Vect into the category of dg vector spaces, and a left Fin-module is a covariant functor Fin $\rightarrow dg$ Vect.

We will consider the following examples:

- For X some topological space we can consider the right Fin-module sending a finite set S to the simplicial chains on the mapping space $C(X^S)$. We denote this Fin-module by $C(X^{\bullet})$.
- To a commutative coalgebra *B* we assign the right Fin-module sending the finite set *S* to the tensor product $B^S \cong \bigotimes_{s \in S} B$. We denote this Fin-module by B^{\bullet} . Dually, if *A* is a commutative algebra, then the functor $S \mapsto A^S \cong \bigotimes_{s \in S} A$ describes a left Fin-module A_{\bullet} .

The higher Hochschild(-Pirashvili) homology $HH^X(B)$ can be defined as the homology of the complex of homotopy natural transformations $C(X^{\bullet}) \to B^{\bullet}$ [20]. Dually, the higher Hochschild homology $HH^X(A)$ may be described as derived tensor product $C(X^{\bullet})\bar{\otimes}_{Fin}A_{\bullet}$. We will provide explicit models below. Any map $f: X \to Y$ induces a map $f^*: HH^Y(B) \to HH^X(B)$ (resp. $f_*: HH^X(A) \to HH^Y(A)$). Two homotopic maps induce the same map in higher Hochschild homology.

In our case we take X to be a rose with r petals $W_r = \bigvee_r S^1$. The outer automorphism group $Out(F_r)$ acts on W_r up to homotopy and hence we obtain a representation of $Out(F_r)$ on $HH^{W_r}(A)$ and $HH^{W_r}(B)$ for all A, B as above.

This yields a rich source of $Out(F_r)$ representations, considered in [24].

3.1. More explicit formulas for graphs. We assume that A is an augmented differential graded commutative algebra, and denote by \bar{A} the augmentation ideal. One can then describe $HH^{W_n}(A)$ more explicitly as the homology of the complex

$$\mathsf{CH}^{W_r}(A) = \bigoplus_{j_1, \dots, j_r} A \otimes \bigotimes_{\alpha=1}^r (\overline{A}[1])^{\otimes j_\alpha},$$

with differential $d_H + d_A$, where d_A is induced from the intrinsic differential on A and d_H is a version of the Hochschild differential [24]. Concretely, for $a_0, a_{j,i} \in A$, for simplicity assumed to have degree 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(a_0; a_{1,1}, \dots, a_{1,j_1}, \dots, a_{r,1}, \dots, a_{r,j_r}) \\ &= (a_0 a_{1,1}; a_{1,2}, \dots, a_{1,j_1}, \dots, a_{r,1}, \dots, a_{r,j_r}) - (a_0; a_{1,1} a_{1,2}, \dots, a_{1,j_1}, \dots, a_{r,1}, \dots, a_{r,j_r}) \\ &\pm \dots + (-1)(a_{1,j_1} a_0; a_{1,1}, \dots, a_{1,j_{1-1}}, \dots, a_{r,1}, \dots, a_{r,j_r}) \\ &\pm \dots \pm (a_0 a_{r,1}; a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, \dots, a_{1,j_1}, \dots, a_{r,2}, \dots, a_{r,j_r}) \pm (a_0; a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, \dots, a_{1,j_1}, \dots, a_{r,j_r}) \\ &\pm \dots \pm (a_{r,j_r} a_0; a_{1,1}, \dots, a_{1,j_{1-1}}, \dots, a_{r,1}, \dots, a_{r,j_r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

⁵In fact in the hairy graph-complex besides the grading reversion, we also shift degree by *m* so that $HGC_{m,n}$ is endowed with a natural Lie bracket corresponding to the Browder operator in the rational homotopy of the spaces of embeddings [11].

Informally speaking, we may think of the a's as sitting on a wedge of r circles, and the differential is the signed sum of all contractions of spaces between the a's, multiplying the two elements on either side of the space.



Now suppose that Γ is a graph. Then we may similarly define a complex computing the Hochschild-Pirashvili homology on Γ as

$$\mathsf{CH}^{\Gamma}(A) = \bigotimes_{v \in V\Gamma} A \otimes \bigotimes_{e \in E\Gamma} \left(\bigoplus_{j \ge 0} (\overline{A}[1])^{\otimes j} \right).$$

We interpret the various factors of A (resp. \overline{A}) as "sitting" on the vertices (respectively edges) of the graph Γ .



The differential is then again the signed sum of contractions of spaces between decorations, multiplying the decorations accordingly. Note that we quietly assume that an orientation of each edge and orderings of edges and vertices are chosen to make the order of the tensor products above well defined.

Let *e* be an edge of the graph Γ , and let Γ/e be the graph obtained by contracting *e*. Denote the vertices that *e* connects by v_1, v_2 , and the corresponding vertex of Γ/e by *v*. Then there is a canonical map of complexes

(9)
$$\operatorname{CH}^{\Gamma}(A) \to \operatorname{CH}^{\Gamma/e}(A),$$

given by projecting the factor of the tensor product corresponding to e to its "constant" piece

$$\oplus_{i>0}(\overline{A}[1])^{\otimes j} \to (\overline{A}[1])^{\otimes 0} = \mathbb{K},$$

and multiplying the decorations of v_1 and v_2

$$\underbrace{A}_{\operatorname{at} v_1} \otimes \underbrace{A}_{\operatorname{at} v_2} \to \underbrace{A}_{\operatorname{at} v}.$$

It is not hard to check that the map (9) is a quasi-isomorphism. In fact, zig-zags of edge contractions may be used to generate the full $\operatorname{Aut}(F_r)$ action on $\operatorname{CH}^{W_r}(A)$.

Finally, suppose that A carries in addition a grading by an abelian group G, not necessarily equal to the cohomological \mathbb{Z} -grading. Then the Hochschild-Pirashvili complexes above inherit the grading. We denote the homogeneous subcomplexes of fixed degree $g \in G$ by

$$\mathsf{CH}^{\Gamma,g}(A),$$

and the corresponding subspace of the homology by

 $\mathsf{HH}^{\Gamma,g}(A),$

Remark 1. It is well known that the ordinary Hochschild complex of a (dg) commutative algebra carries a natural commutative and associative product $*_{sh}$ via the shuffle map, see [18, section 4.2]. One may define a similar product on the Hochschild-Pirashvili complex, which we denote by the same letter $*_{sh}$. Concretely, on $CH^{\Gamma}(A)$ the product is obtained by multiplying the decorations on vertices on summing over all ways of combining the decorations on edges through shuffles.

3.2. **Our main examples.** Let $L_{\infty}^{c}(x_{1}, ..., x_{N})$ be the free L_{∞} coalgebra cogenerated by elements $x_{1}, ..., x_{N}$ of degrees $\underline{d} = (d_{1}, ..., d_{N})$. We define $A_{\underline{d}}$ to be the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of $L_{\infty}^{c}(x_{1}, ..., x_{N})$, considered as an augmented differential graded commutative algebra. Concretely, it is a symmetric algebra freely generated by $L_{\infty}^{c}(x_{1}, ..., x_{N})$ shifted in degree by one.

$$A_d = S(L^c_{\infty}(x_1,\ldots,x_N)[-1]).$$

The dg commutative algebra $A_{\underline{d}}$ (and $L^c_{\infty}(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$) are naturally \mathbb{Z}^N -graded, according to the number of variables x_j ($j = 1, \ldots, N$) occurring in expressions. Finally $A_{\underline{d}}$ is formal, the cohomology being

$$A'_{d} := H(A_{\underline{d}}) = \mathbb{K}[y_1, \dots, y_N] / \langle y_i y_j = 0 \rangle \cong \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K} y_1 \oplus \dots \mathbb{K} y_N,$$

i.e., the algebra generated by elements y_1, \ldots, y_N of degrees $(d_1 + 1, \ldots, d_N + 1)$ such that all non-trivial products vanish.

Let us also note that elements of $L_{\infty}^{c}(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ can be considered graphically as linear combinations of rooted trees, with leaves labelled by numbers $1, \ldots, N$.



The differential is the sum of contractions of internal edges. Similarly, elements of $A_{\underline{d}}$ can be considered graphically as "bunches" of such trees.



The differential is again the sum of contractions of internal edges, where edges incident to the root are now considered as internal unless they connect a root to one of the leaves.

We finally remark that combining the interpretation of $CH^{\Gamma}(A_{\underline{d}})$ as $A_{\underline{d}}$ -decorations on graphs from the previous sections with the interpretation of elements of $A_{\underline{d}}$ as "bunches of trees", one sees that elements of $CH^{\Gamma}(A_{\underline{d}})$ may be interpreted as linear combinations of "tree decorated graphs" such as the following.



Similarly, elements of $CH^{\Gamma}(A'_{\underline{d}})$ may be interpreted as linear combinations of "hair decorated graphs" such as the following.



Thanks to the projection $A_{\underline{d}} \to A'_{\underline{d}}$, one has a quasi-isomorphism $\mathsf{CH}^{\Gamma}(A_{\underline{d}}) \to \mathsf{CH}^{\Gamma}(A'_{\underline{d}})$.

3.3. **Recollection: Hochschild-Pirashvili homology of** A_d . Let us specialize further to the case N = 1, i.e., of only one generator, which we denote by x. In this case we write $A_d = A_{(d)}$ for short. The Hochschild-Pirashvili homology of A_d (or, equivalently A'_d) on W_r (or, equivalently, any graph Γ of loop order r) has been computed in [24, section 2]. Below we cite some facts from loc. cit.

3.3.1. *d even*. In this case $L_{\infty}^{c}(x)$ is one-dimensional, and one has $A'_{d} = A_{d}$ is 2-dimensional. For any connected graph Γ of loop order *r* denote by $C_{\bullet}(\Gamma)$ its cellular chain complex

$$0 \to C_1(\Gamma) \to C_0(\Gamma) \to 0,$$

which according to our cohomological conventions is concentrated in degrees -1 and 0. For the degree *d* of *x* even and $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ we may identify the Hochschild-Pirashvili complex with a symmetric power:

(10)
$$\mathsf{CH}^{\Gamma,h}(A_d) = S^h \left(C_{\bullet}(\Gamma)[-d-1] \right),$$

where the superscript *h* refers to the piece of auxiliary degree *h*, assigning *x* degree 1. Indeed, since $A'_d = A_d$, only hairs can appear as decorations. Elements of $C_0(\Gamma)$ have an odd degree d + 1 and thus can not be repeated in the symmetric power, which correspond to the fact that only one hair can grow from a vertex. On the other hand, hairs on an edge can be repeated, which correspond to the fact that in the symmetric power elements of $C_1(\Gamma)$ have even degree *d* and thus can also be taken with any multiplicity. It follows immediately that

$$\mathsf{HH}^{\Gamma,h}(A_d) = S^h(H_{\bullet}(\Gamma)[-d-1]) = S^h(H_1(\Gamma)[-d]) \oplus H_0(\Gamma)[-d-1] \otimes S^{h-1}(H_1(\Gamma)[-d]).$$

Of course, in our example $H_0(\Gamma) = \mathbb{K}$ and $H_1(\Gamma) = \mathbb{K}^r$, but we will keep the above notation for reasons apparent later. The action of $Out(F_r)$ in this case factors through the obvious action of $GL(r, \mathbb{Z})$ on $H_1(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{K}^r$.

3.3.2. *d* odd. If *d* is odd, then $L_{\infty}^{c}(x)$ is infinite-dimensional, however with two-dimensional cohomology given by the cofree Lie coalgebra cogenerated by *x*. Let Γ be a finite connected graph of loop order *r*. In case of odd *d* neither the complex $CH^{\Gamma}(A_d)$, nor $CH^{\Gamma}(A'_d)$ can be expressed via $C_{\bullet}(\Gamma)$. In order to compute their cohomology we consider the special case $CH^{W_r}(A'_d)$. Its component $CH^{W_r,h}(A'_d)$ is a two-term complex that may be identified with the de Rham map on an *n*-dimensional odd vector space

$$(11) \quad 0 \to \bigoplus_{l+2l'=h} S^{l}(H_{1}(W_{r})[-d]) \otimes S^{l'}(H_{1}(W_{r})[-2d]) \xrightarrow{d_{dR}} \bigoplus_{l+2l'=h-1} H_{0}(W_{r})[-d-1] \otimes S^{l}(H_{1}(W_{r})[-d]) \otimes S^{l'}(H_{1}(W_{r})[-2d]) \to 0.$$

If we denote a basis of $H_1(W_r)[-d]$ by variables y_j of degree *d* and a basis of $H_1(W_r)[-2d]$ by variables θ_j of degree 2*d*, and if we interpret the spaces in the complex above as polynomials in y_j and θ_j , then the "de Rham" differential d_{dR} is given by the formula

(12)
$$d_{dR}f(y_1,\ldots,y_n,\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} f(y_1,\ldots,y_n,\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n).$$

The first term of this complex is spanned by graphs W_r that have only edges decorated by hairs, the second term being spanned by similar graphs whith the only vertex of W_r decorated by a hair. A monomial θ_j^k should be understood as the *j*-th circle having 2k hairs; and a monomial $y_j\theta_j^k$ should be understood as the *j*-th circle having 2k + 1 hairs.

We will set

$$B_{r,d}^{h,I} = \ker(\mathbf{d}_{dR})$$
 $B_{r,d}^{h,II} = \operatorname{coker}(\mathbf{d}_{dR})$

Both $B_{r,d}^{h,I}$ and $B_{r,d}^{h,II}$ are $\operatorname{Out}(F_r)$ -modules via the $\operatorname{Out}(F_r)$ -action on the Hochschild-Pirashvili homology. Note that there is also a natural action of $\operatorname{GL}(r,\mathbb{Z})$ on these spaces. However, the $\operatorname{Out}(F_r)$ -action does not in general factor through the $\operatorname{GL}(r,\mathbb{Z})$ -action. There is a filtration (called the Hodge filtration) on the above modules, such that the induced $\operatorname{Out}(F_r)$ -action on the associated graded spaces factors through $\operatorname{GL}(r,\mathbb{Z})$, see [24] for details. In fact the obvious $\operatorname{GL}(r,\mathbb{Z})$ action that one can see is the action on the associated graded spaces.

Furthermore one can see that $B_{r,d}^{h,I} \cong B_{r,d}^{h+2,II}[2d+1]$ since the kernel and cokernel of the de Rham differential can be identified. Concretely, an isomorphism $B_{r,d}^{h+2,II} \to B_{r,d}^{h,I}[-2d-1]$ is given by the operator (12). Finally, the odd number *d* matters only in providing a global degree shift. We will set

$$B_r^h := B_{r,1}^{h,I}[h]$$

so that B_r^h is concentrated in degree zero. Thus one has

$$B_{r,d}^{h,I} \cong B_r^h[-hd].$$

4. OUTER SPACE AND "DECORATED" GRAPH COMPLEXES

4.1. **Outer space and coefficient systems.** A metrized graph is a combinatorial graph together with the assignment of a non-negative number (the "length") to each edge, so that the sum of the lengths of the edges of any closed loop is positive.⁶ The (quotient of the) outer space OS_r defined by Culler and Vogtmann [8] is the quotient of the space of isomorphism classes of connected metrized *r*-loop graphs, obtained by identifying a metrized graph with the graph obtained by contracting all edges of zero lengths. The space OS_r is naturally an open orbi-cell complex. Its (open) cell structure is neatly encoded in the following category. Define the objects of the category Gr_r to be *r*-loop graphs (not isomorphisms classes of graphs), and the morphisms to be generated by the following maps of graphs:

- Isomorphisms of graphs.
- Sub-forest contractions.

Then the *d*-dimensional orbicells of OS_r correspond to isomorphism classes of Gr_r represented by graphs with *d* edges. The attachment maps between orbicells are given by the arrows of Gr_r between these isomorphism classes.

The space OS_r plays a role similar to the classifying space of the group of outer automorphisms of the free group on *r* generators F_r . More concretely, one may define a space O_r whose points are isomorphism classes of metrized graphs, with a homotopy class of a map from a wedge product of *r* circles, inducing an isomorphism on π_1 . One again identifies a metrized graph with the graph obtained by contracting all edges of length 0. By precomposing the map from the wedge of circles accordingly, the group $Out(F_r)$ acts on O_r . The stabilizer subgroup may be identified with the automorphism group of the metrized graph and is hence finite.

The space O_r has an open cell complex structure, the cells corresponding to isomorphism classes of (nonmetrized) graphs. We may again organize the cells into a category Grx_r whose objects are graphs with a homotopy class of a map from $\vee_r S^1$, inducing an isomorphism on π_1 . The morphisms are generated by isomorphisms of graphs and sub-forest contractions. Again we have an action of $Out(F_r)$ on Grx_r by pre-composing the maps from $\vee_r S^1$ accordingly. Finally we may recover Gr_r as the quotient of Grx_r , and denote the forgetful functor by

(13)
$$\pi \colon \operatorname{Grx}_r \to \operatorname{Gr}_r = \operatorname{Grx}_r/\operatorname{Out}(F_r)$$

We are interested in studying local systems on outer space. The corresponding data may be nicely organized using the category Gr_r .

Definition 1. We define a coefficient system as a functor

$$Gr_r \rightarrow dg$$
 Vect.

We say that the coefficient system is a homotopy local system if the images of all arrows are quasi-isomorphisms. We say it is a local system if the images of all arrows are isomorphisms.

We are interested mainly in the following examples.

Example 1. The functors

$C_{\bullet}: \operatorname{Gr}_r \to dg\operatorname{Vect}$	$H_{\bullet}: \operatorname{Gr}_r \to dg\operatorname{Vect}$
$\Gamma \mapsto C_{\bullet}(\Gamma)$	$\Gamma \mapsto H_{ullet}(\Gamma)$

assigning to a graph its cellular chain complex (respectively its homology) is a coefficient system.

Example 2. The functor

Det :
$$\operatorname{Gr}_r \to \operatorname{Vect}$$

 $\Gamma \mapsto \wedge^r H_1(\Gamma)$

defines a (one dimensional) coefficient system.

Example 3. Let A be a (dg) commutative algebra. Define the functor

$$HH_A: Gr_r \to gVect$$

which assigns to a graph Γ the Hochschild-Pirashvili homology $HH^{\Gamma}(A)$. Similarly, define the functor

$$CH_A: Gr_r \to dgVect$$

assigning to Γ the Hochschild-Pirashvili complex $CH^{\Gamma}(A)$. In particular, HH_A is the composite of CH_A and the homology functor from dg Vect to g Vect. In case A is G-graded, for any $g \in G$ we similarly define the functors HH_A^g , CH_A^g assigning to Γ the g-part $HH^{\Gamma,g}(A)$, respectively, $CH^{\Gamma,g}(A)$, of the higher Hochschild homology/complex.

⁶We allow in particular graphs with short loops, i.e., edges connecting a vertex to itself.

Example 4. More generally, let *V* be any representation of $Out(F_r)$. Then a local system can be constructed by sending a graph $\Gamma \in obGr_r$ to the vector space

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\Gamma'\in ob\,\pi^{-1}(\Gamma)}V\right)_{\operatorname{Out}(F_r)}$$

where π is as in (13) Out(F_r) acts on V and simultaneously by permuting the summands according to the action on $\pi^{-1}(\Gamma)$.

Conversely, given a local system on outer space as in the above definition, one may recover a representation of $Out(F_r)$ as follows: First, one defines the fundamental group $\pi_1(Gr_r)$ as the group of homotopy classes of zigzags of arrows

$$W_r \rightarrow \cdot \leftarrow W_r$$

where W_r is a wedge of *r* circles, considered as a graph. Two such zigzags are homotopic if the "space between them" may be triangulated by commutative diagrams, cf. the similar Definition [3, III.C Definition 3.5]. Clearly, any local system yields a representation of $\pi_1(Gr_r)$, and one can check that $\pi_1(Gr_r) = Out(F_r)$.

Finally, let us note that one can (of course) define direct sums and tensor products of local systems in the obvious way.

4.2. Decorated graph complexes. Suppose we are given a coefficient system

$$F: \operatorname{Gr}_r \to dg\operatorname{Vect}$$

as above. Given a graph Γ , let us denote the full subcategory of Gr_r whose objects are isomorphic to Γ by $\operatorname{Gr}_r^{[\Gamma]}$. Of course the functor *F* restricts to a functor

$$F \mid_{\mathsf{Gr}_{*}^{[\Gamma]}} : \mathsf{Gr}_{r}^{[\Gamma]} \to dg \mathsf{Vect}.$$

Furthermore, we consider the functor

Or:
$$\operatorname{Gr}_r^{[1]} \to g\operatorname{Vect}$$

assigning to a graph Γ the one-dimensional graded vector space

$$\mathsf{Or}(\Gamma) = (\mathbb{K}[1])^{\otimes |E\Gamma|},$$

where $E\Gamma$ is the edge set of Γ . An isomorphism $f : \Gamma \to \Gamma'$ is sent by Or to the map of one-dimensional vector spaces obtained by permuting the factors in the tensor product according to the edge permutation induced by f. (Concretely, choosing a basis Or(f) acts by multiplication by ± 1 .) This functors encodes possible choices for the orientation of the orbicells in OS_r .

Then define the vector space

$$V_{[\Gamma]} = \operatorname{colim}\left(\operatorname{Or} \otimes F \mid_{\operatorname{Gr}_r^{[\Gamma]}}\right)$$

as the colimit of the corresponding sub-diagram of $Or \otimes F$. Note that $Gr_r^{[\Gamma]}$ is a connected groupoid, thus this colimit is isomorphic to $(Or(\Gamma) \otimes F(\Gamma))_{G_{\Gamma}}$, where G_{Γ} is the group of symmetries of Γ .

Then we define a graph complex G_F^r as follows. As a graded vector space

$$\mathsf{G}_F^r = \oplus_{[\Gamma]} V_{[\Gamma]},$$

where the sum ranges over isomorphism classes of r-loop graphs. The differential is defined as

(14)
$$d(\Gamma, \varepsilon \otimes v) = (\Gamma, \varepsilon \otimes d_{F(\Gamma)}v) + \sum_{e \in E\Gamma} (c_e(\Gamma), \mathsf{Or}(c_e)(\varepsilon) \otimes F(c_e)(v))$$

where the sum ranges over edges of the graph Γ , c_e is the morphism in Gr_r contracting the edge e, and

$$\mathsf{Or}(c_e): \mathsf{Or}(\Gamma) = (\mathbb{K}[1])^{\otimes |E\Gamma|} \to \mathsf{Or}(c_e(\Gamma)) = (\mathbb{K}[1])^{\otimes |Ec_e(\Gamma)|}$$

is the morphism of degree one of one-dimensional vector spaces corresponding to removing the factor corresponding to the edge e. (Concretely, picking a basis, $Or(c_e)$ acts by multiplication by an alternating sign as e ranges over the edges of Γ .)

Finally, we define the dual graph complex

$$\mathrm{GC}_F^r = (\mathbf{G}_F^r)^*.$$

Remark 2. A functor $F: \operatorname{Gr}_r \to dg\operatorname{Vect}$ is what is called a *cellular orbi-cosheaf* on OS_r. The complex Gr_F^r computes the *Borel-Moore homology* of OS_r with coefficients in F [9, Section 6.2], [17]. The dual graph complex GC_F^r computes the *locally compact cohomology* of OS_r with coefficients in the *cellular orbi-sheaf* $F^*: \operatorname{Gr}_r^{op} \to dg\operatorname{Vect}$ – the objectwise dual of F.

4.3. Hairy graph complexes – Standard definition. The hairy graph complexes $HGC_{m,n}$ are combinatorial complexes of formal series of graphs with vertices of valence 1 (hairs) or valence ≥ 3 (internal vertices) as depicted in (1). They arise in the study of the deformation theory of the E_n operads that we briefly review in Section 8.

Each complex HGC_{*m,n*} is spanned by connected graphs having some set of *external vertices* of valence 1, and some set of *internal vertices*. The set of external vertices, which are also called hairs, must be non-empty. We also assume that all internal vertices are of valence ≥ 3 . We allow graphs to have multiple edges and loops (edges joining a vertex to itself). For such a graph define its *orientation set* as the union of the set of its external vertices (considered as elements of degree *m*), the set of its internal vertices (considered as elements of degree *n*), and the set of its edges (considered as elements of degree (1 - n)). By an *orientation* of a graph we will understand ordering of its orientation set together with an orientation of all its edges. Two such graphs are *equivalent* if there is a bijection between their sets of vertices and edges respecting the adjacency structure of the graphs, orientation of the edges, and the order of the orientation sets. The space of HGC_{*m,n*} is the quotient space of the vector space freely spanned by such graphs modulo the orientation relations:

(1) $\Gamma_1 = (-1)^n \Gamma_2$ if Γ_1 differs from Γ_2 by an orientation of an edge.

(2) $\Gamma_1 = \pm \Gamma_2$, where Γ_2 is obtained from Γ_1 by a permutation of the orientation set. The sign here is the Koszul sign of permutation.

The differential $\partial\Gamma$ of a graph $\Gamma \in \text{HGC}_{m,n}$ is defined as the sum of expansions of its internal vertices. The orientation set of a new graph is obtained by adding the new vertex and the new edge as the first and second elements to the orientation set, and by orienting the new edge from the old vertex to the new one. We define the degree of a graph as the sum of degrees of the elements from its orientation set minus *m*. We need this shift by *m* to unable the complex HGC_{*m*,*n*} with the dg Lie algebra structure, which is related to the Browder operator in the rational homotopy of the spaces of long embeddings modulo immersions and also appears naturally in the operad deformation theory [11, 23].

Combinatorially, the Lie bracket connects a hair of one graph to an internal vertex of another graph in all possible ways as indicated in the following picture.

4.4. Hairy graph complexes as decorated graph complexes. The hairy graph complexes $HGC_{m,n}$ from the previous section split into direct products of subcomplexes $HGC_{m,n}^{r,h}$ of fixed loop number *r* and fixed number of hairs *h*, so that

$$\mathrm{HGC}_{m,n} = \prod_{\substack{r \ge 0 \\ h > 1}} \mathrm{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,h}.$$

One easy but crucial observation is that the pieces $\text{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,h}$, $r \ge 2$, $h \ge 1$, may be equally well defined as decorated graph complexes. More concretely, for n - m even note that hairs are odd objects, and hence there are no vertices with multiple hairs in graphs in $\text{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,h}$. For $r \ge 2$ each hairy graph $\Gamma \in \text{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,h}$ thus consists of a "core graph" of vertices that have at least 3 non-hair neighbors, connected by strings of hairs as the following picture illustrates.



Comparing this to the graphical interpretation for the Hochschild-Pirashvili complex of a graph from sections 3.1 and 3.2, we see that the complex $HGC_{m,n}^{r,h}$ essentially agrees with the complex GC_F^r for F the coefficient system given by the Hochschild-Pirashvili complex as in Example 3. More precisely, taking into account the degrees and signs we find that if n, m are even and $r \ge 2$ then

(16)
$$\operatorname{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,h} = \operatorname{GC}_{\operatorname{CH}_{A_{n-m-2}}^{h}}^{r} [nr+m-n].$$

If *n* and *m* are odd, then additional signs in the definition of $HGC_{m,n}$ yield the identification

(17)
$$\operatorname{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,h} = \operatorname{GC}_{\operatorname{CH}_{A_{n-m-2}}^{h} \otimes \operatorname{Det}}^{r}[nr+m-n].$$

where Det is the coefficient system from example 2.

For n - m odd the hairs on hairy graphs are even objects. In particular, hairy graphs $\Gamma \in \text{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,h}$ for $r \ge 2$ may contain multiple hairs at a vertex, and also tree-like "antennas" as in the following example. Still, recursively cutting the antennas we may view each hairy graph $\Gamma \in \text{HGC}_{m,n}$ as a "core" graph, whose edges are decorated by strings of bunches of "antennas", as the following picture shall indicate.



Comparing this again to the definition of the Hochschild-Pirashvili complex for graphs from sections 3.1 and 3.2, we can readily identify

(18)
$$\operatorname{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,h} = \operatorname{GC}_{\operatorname{CH}_{A_{n-m-2}}}^{r}[nr+m-n]$$

for *n* even, *m* odd, $r \ge 2$ and

(19)
$$\operatorname{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,h} = \operatorname{GC}_{\operatorname{CH}_{A_{n-m-2}}^{h} \otimes \operatorname{Det}}^{r} [nr + m - n]$$

for *n* odd, *m* even and $r \ge 2$.

For the purposes of this paper, the reader may take (16)-(19) as the primary definitions of the hairy graph complexes. The only downside is that the Lie bracket on $HGC_{m,n}$ is not readily visible using this definition.

Furthermore, the above definitions do not capture the loop orders r = 0 and r = 1. Fortunately, in loop order ≤ 1 the hairy graph cohomology is known, with the following result [2, Proposition 3.3].

Theorem 3. The zero-loop and one-loop pieces $H(HGC_{m,n}^0)$ and $H(HGC_{m,n}^1)$ of the hairy graph cohomology satisfy

$$H(\mathrm{HGC}^{0}_{m,n}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{K} & \text{for } n - m \text{ even} \\ \mathbb{K} & \text{for } n - m \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$H(\mathrm{HGC}^{1}_{m,n}) = \prod_{\substack{k \ge 1\\ k \equiv ln+1 \bmod 2L}} \mathbb{K}$$
 (k vertices)

where L = 1 if n - m is even, and L = 2 if n - m is odd.

5. Proof of Theorem 1

Applying (16)-(17) to the equivalence of coefficient systems (10) in case of even codimension n-m, we express the hairy graph-complexes as follows

(20)
$$\operatorname{HGC}_{m,n}^{h,r} \cong \operatorname{GC}_{\operatorname{Det}^{\otimes n} \otimes S^{h}(C, [-n+m+1])}^{r}[nr+m-n],$$

where C_{\bullet} : $\operatorname{Gr}_r \to dg$ Vect is the coefficient system from Example 1.

Denote by \tilde{C}_{\bullet} the coefficient system assigning to $\Gamma \in Gr_r$ the kernel of the augmentation map

(21)
$$\pi \colon C_{\bullet}(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{K} \cong H_0(\Gamma).$$

Lemma 1. There is a natural isomorphism of coefficient systems (i.e., functors $Gr_r \rightarrow dgVect$)

P

$$\langle \oplus C_{\bullet} \cong C_{\bullet}$$

Proof. In order to show this splitting we have to construct a section $s: \mathbb{K} \to C_{\bullet}$ to the projection $\pi: C_{\bullet} \to \mathbb{K}$. On a graph Γ this section

$$H_0(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{K} \to C_0(\Gamma)$$

sends the generator 1 to

$$\frac{1}{2r-2}\sum_{v\in V\Gamma}(val(v)-2)\cdot v$$

Here val(v) stays for the valence of a vertex v, and $V\Gamma$ (below, respectively, $E\Gamma$) is the set of vertices (respectively, edges) of Γ . One has that the sum of coefficients $\sum_{v \in V\Gamma} (val(v) - 2) = 2|E\Gamma| - 2|V\Gamma|$ which is minus double Euler characteristic of Γ , i.e. 2r - 2. The additional prefactor (val(v) - 2) makes the transformation natural in Γ . Concretely, the contraction of an edge between vertices v and v' produces a vertex w such that

$$val(v) - 2 = (val(v) - 2) + (val(v') - 2).$$

Corollary 1. The coefficient system C_{\bullet} : $\operatorname{Gr}_r \to dg\operatorname{Vect}$ is formal, i.e. there is a natural quasi-isomorphism:

$$H_{\bullet} \stackrel{\sim}{\Rightarrow} C_{\bullet}.$$

Proof. On a graph Γ the natural transformation is defined as follows. The map

$$H_1(\Gamma) = \ker(C_1(\Gamma) \to C_0(\Gamma)) \hookrightarrow C_1(\Gamma)$$

is the natural inclusion. The map $s: H_0(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{K} \to C_0(\Gamma)$ is as defined in the proof of the lemma above.

This formality and essentially equivalent to it the splitting from Lemma 1 is the main reason for the splitting in the hairy graph-homology. Indeed, the splitting of the lemma implies the splitting of the coefficient system:

(22)
$$S^{h}(C_{\bullet}[-n+m+1]) \cong S^{h}(\mathbb{K}[-n+m+1] \oplus \tilde{C}_{\bullet}[-n+m+1]) \cong$$

 $S^{h}(\tilde{C}_{\bullet}[-n+m+1]) \oplus S^{h-1}(\tilde{C}_{\bullet}[-n+m+1])[-n+m+1].$

The complexes $HGC_{m,n}^{h,r,I}$ and $HGC_{m,n}^{h,r,II}$ are defined as the direct summands of $HGC_{m,n}^{h,r}$ arising through this splitting into these two coefficient systems and isomorphism (20). Since these coefficient systems are formal, one has

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathrm{HGC}_{m,n}^{h,r,l}) &= H(\mathrm{GC}_{\mathrm{Det}^{\otimes n}\otimes S^{h}(\tilde{C}_{\bullet}[-n+m+1])})[nr+m-n] = \\ &= H(\mathrm{GC}_{\mathrm{Det}^{\otimes n}\otimes S^{h}(H_{1}[-n+m+2])})[nr+m-n] = H(\mathrm{GC}_{\mathrm{Det}^{\otimes n}\otimes S^{h}H_{1}}^{r})[nr+(h-1)(n-m-2)-2]. \end{aligned}$$

And similarly for the second summand,

$$H(\text{HGC}_{m,n}^{h,r,II}) = H(\text{GC}_{\text{Def}^{\otimes n} \otimes S^{h-1}H_1}^r)[nr + (h-1)(n-m-2) - 1]$$

The map $\pi: C_{\bullet} \to \mathbb{K}$ can be extended as derivation to the symmetric power of $C_{\bullet}[-n + m + 1]$ defining a map of coefficient systems

(23)
$$D_{\pi}: S^{h+1}(C_{\bullet}[-n+m+1]) \Rightarrow S^{h}(C_{\bullet}[-n+m+1])[-n+m+1]$$

Proposition 2. The map $\frac{1}{2}[L, -]$: HGC^{*h*,*r*}_{*m*,*n*} \rightarrow HGC^{*h*+1,*r*}_{*m*,*n*}[-*n* + *m* + 1] in view of identification (20) is described as the map

$$\operatorname{GC}^{r}_{id\otimes D_{\pi}} \colon \operatorname{GC}^{r}_{\operatorname{Det}^{\otimes n}\otimes S^{h}(C_{\bullet}[-n+m+1])[-n+m+1]} \to \operatorname{GC}^{r}_{\operatorname{Det}^{\otimes n}\otimes S^{h+1}(C_{\bullet}[-n+m+1])}$$

induced by the map D_{π} of coefficient systems.

Proof. Indeed, the Lie bracket operation [L, -] on graphs is combinatorially the operation of adding one additional hair at a vertex, i.e.,

$$[L,\Gamma]=2\sum_{v\in V\Gamma}\Gamma\cup(\text{hair at }v).$$

The coefficient 2 appears since each of two vertices of *L* contributes. Dually, this operation is the sum of cuttings off hairs from one of the vertices, which in terms of maps of coefficient systems is exactly D_{π} .

To finish the proof of the theorem we notice that the map D_{π} of coefficients is compatible with the splitting (22).

6. Proof of Theorem 2

We first define the filtration (5) on the hairy graph-complex. We say that a hairy graph is of defect zero if it is obtained by attaching only uni-trivalent trees and only to the edges of its core graph. Otherwise we say that a hairy graph has defect k > 0 if it is obtained from a graph of defect zero with the same core by contracting k internal edges. For example, the graph below has defect 4.



We define the term $F_i^{h,r}$ of the filtration to consist of the elements $x \in \text{HGC}_{m,n}^{h,r}$ such that both x and dx are linear combinations of graphs of defect $\geq i$. This filtration is induced by the Postnikov filtration in the coefficient systems. Recall that for a cochain complex (C, d), its k-th Postnikov term $Po_k(C)$ is the subcomplex of C:

$$(Po_k(C))_i = \begin{cases} C_i, & i \le k; \\ d(C_i), & i = k+1; \\ 0, & i > k+1. \end{cases}$$

This filtration is functorial and therefore induces a filtration $Po_{\bullet}(F)$ on any coefficient system $F: \operatorname{Gr}_r \to dg\operatorname{Vect}$ and thus on any graph-complex G_F . On the dual complex $\operatorname{GC}_F^r = \operatorname{G}_F^*$ one considers the dual "orthogonal" filtration. One has that $Po_k(C)/Po_{k-1}(C)$ is a two term complex whose cohomology is concentrated in degree kand is exactly $H^k(C)$. Moreover, one has a functorial in C quasi-isomorphism

$$H^k(C) \hookrightarrow Po_k(C)/Po_{k-1}(C).$$

This implies that the spectral sequence associated with the induced filtation in the graph-complex GC_F^r has as its first term $H(GC_{H(F)})$. Applying this general construction to $HGC_{m,n}^{h,r}$ described as (16)-(19) and knowing the fact that the homology of $CH_{A_{n-m-2}}^{h}$ is concentrated only in two degrees, see Subsubsection 3.3.2, we get the statements (6) and (7) of the Theorem.

To see that d_1 is trivial in case h = 1, we notice that up to a shift of degree the complex HGC^{1,r}_{m,n} depends only on the parity of n. Thus we get the same splitting as in the case of n - m even, which implies the collapse of the spectral sequence at E_1 .

In case h = 2, the spectral sequence obviously abuts at E_1 as the latter one has only one non-trivial column.

Next consider the claim of Theorem 2 that the Lie bracket with the tripod graph T (8) sends $F_i^{h,r}$ to $F_{i+1}^{h+2,r}$. The operation of taking the Lie bracket [T, -] is combinatorially the addition of a tripod to each vertex in turn, minus the attachment of a tripod at hairs i.e.,

$$[T, \Gamma] = 3 \sum_{v \in V\Gamma} \Gamma \cup (\text{tripod at } v) - \sum_{h \in H\Gamma} \Gamma \cup (\text{tripod at } h).$$

Pictorially, these two operations read



cf. also the graphical description of the Lie bracket (15). This operation increases the defect by 1 (and the number of hairs by 2). Moreover, since dT = 0, one had d[T, x] = [T, dx]. Thus if dx is a linear combinations of graphs of defect *i*, then d[T, x] = [T, dx] is the sum of graphs of defect *i* + 1, which finishes the proof that [T, -] sends $F_i^{h,r}$ to $F_{i+1}^{h+2,r}$.

Similarly to [L, -] (see Proposition 2), the map [T, -] can also be described in terms of maps of coefficient systems. It is easier to do so it for the dual coefficient systems. Let C_{n-m-2} , respectively C'_{n-m-2} be the coalgebra dual to A_{n-m-2} , respectively A'_{n-m-2} . We consider the dual coefficient systems

$$CH^{n}_{C_{n-m-2}}: Gr^{op}_{r} \to dg \text{Vect},$$

$$CH^{h}_{C'_{n-m-2}}: Gr^{op}_{r} \to dg \text{Vect}$$

defined as objectwise dual of $CH^h_{A_{n-m-2}}$, respectively $CH^h_{A'_{n-m-2}}$. The operation [T, -] can be extended to a map of coefficient systems

(25)
$$[T,-]: \mathsf{CH}^{h}_{C_{n-m-2}} \Rightarrow \mathsf{CH}^{h+2}_{C_{n-m-2}}$$

by the same pictorial formulas (24). We will consider the restriction of (25) on the quasi-isomorphic subsystem $CH_{C_{n-m-2}}^{h} \subset CH_{C_{n-m-2}}^{h}$. We have to show that the induced map of the defect zero homology (coefficient system) of $CH_{C_{n-m-2}}^{h+2}$ to the defect one homology (coefficient system) of $CH_{C_{n-m-2}}^{h+2}$ is an isomorphism. Since all the restriction maps in the coefficient systems are quasi-isomorphisms, it is enough to check this statement only for one graph that we choose to be the simplest one, i.e. W_r .

The complex $CH^{W_r,h}(C'_{n-m-2})$ is dual to (11). It has length two and can also be described as the de Rham map

$$(26) \quad 0 \to \bigoplus_{l+2l'=h-1} S^{l}(H^{1}(W_{r})[n-m-2]) \otimes S^{l'}(H^{1}(W_{r})[2n-2m-4]) \xrightarrow{d_{d_{R}}} \bigoplus_{l+2l'=h} H^{0}(W_{r})[n-m-1] \otimes S^{l}(H^{1}(W_{r})[n-m-2]) \otimes S^{l'}(H^{1}(W_{r})[2n-2m-4]) \to 0.$$

Here we denote the basis of $H^1(W_r)[n - m - 2]$ by variables y_j and a basis of $H^1(W_r)[2n - 2m - 4]$ by variables θ_j . We interpret the spaces in the complex above as polynomials in y_j and θ_j , then the dual "de Rham" differential d_{dR} is given by the formula

(27)
$$d_{dR}f(y_1,\ldots,y_n,\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} f(y_1,\ldots,y_n,\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n).$$

The second term X_2 of this complex is spanned by graphs W_r that have only edges decorated by hairs (graphs of defect zero), the first term X_1 being spanned by similar graphs with the only vertex of W_r decorated by a hair (graphs of defect one). As before a monomial θ_j^k should be understood as the *j*-th circle having 2k hairs; and a monomial $y_j \theta_j^k$ should be understood as the *j*-th circle having 2k + 1 hairs.

Define a map $\Psi: X_2 \to CH^{W_r,h+2}(C_{n-m-2})$ by sending each graph to the sum obtained by attaching two hairs at one of the internal vertices, i.e. either in the only vertex v of W_r or in the base of one of the hairs. It is easy to see that $([T, -]|_{X_2} - d \circ \Psi)$ is described as attachment of a hair in v, then taking the differential in $CH^{W_r,h+1}(C'_{n-m-2})$ and then again attachment of a hair in v. Thus this map abuts in $CH^{W_r,h+2}(C'_{n-m-2}) \subset CH^{W_r,h+2}(C_{n-m-2})$. This map is essentially d_{dR} that provides an isomorphism in homology: between ker d_{dR} and coker d_{dR} .

Remark 3 (Hodge filtration on $HH(A_d)$). Note that for d = n - m odd the coefficient system $HH(A_d)$ originates from a representation of $Out(F_r)$ that does not factor through $GL(r, \mathbb{Z})$ in general. However, we recall from [24] or Subsection 3.3 that there is a filtration (called Hodge filtration therein) on the Hochschild-Pirashvili homology $HH(A_d)$, such that the associated graded does factor through the $GL(r, \mathbb{Z})$ -action on H_1 . It follows that all the hairy graph cohomology may be considered as a subquotient of the decorated graph cohomology induced by representations of $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ (on H_1). It might thus be of interest to study these decorated version in more detail.

6.1. **Consequences and discussion.** Theorems 1 and Theorem 2 have interesting consequences for the structure of the hairy graph cohomology. First, a copy of the non-hairy graph cohomology embeds into $H(\text{HGC}_{m,n})$ in both cases n - m even and n - m odd. Secondly, the remaining classes "come in pairs". In the case n - m even the pairing is realized directly by the bracket with the line graph *L*. This is illustrated on a computer generated table of $H(\text{HGC}_{m,n})$ in Figure 1.

In case n - m odd, we only know for sure that there is a spectral sequence at some of whose page the classes cancel, cf. [23]. However, looking at the cancellation pattern in the computer generated table of $H(\text{HGC}_{m,n})$ in Figure 2, we see that in small degrees the cancellation always seems to happen on the E_2 page, and is given by the bracket with the tripod graph T.

6.2. **Remark: String links and a "colored" variant.** The construction of the spectral sequences above can be extended to more general "hairy" graph complexes considered in the literature. For example, it is shown in [21] that under suitable hypothesis the rational homotopy of the space of long embeddings (modulo immersions) of N "strings" of dimensions m_1, \ldots, m_N in \mathbb{R}^n can be expressed through the graph cohomology of a hairy graph complex HGC_{m_1,\ldots,m_N};n, generalizing the complex HGC_{m_1,\ldots,m_N};n differs in so far that hairs are N-colored, with the

 $^{^{7}}$ In these works the construction is more general on internal vertices, allowing any cyclic operad as input (commutative operad in our case), but slightly more restrictive on the hair vertices, allowing only even number of colors of the same degrees – which are basis elements of a symplectic vector space.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	_	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	1 ₁₆	1 ₁₆							9		214						
8		115							8	1_{13}	213						
7	1 ₁₂	112							7		2 ₁₀	5 ₁₀	1_{8}				
6		111							6	19	29	39 , 17	47				
5	18			111					5		16	3 ₆	66	1_4			
4				18					4	15	1_{5}	2_{5}	$3_5, 1_3$	$4_5, 3_3$			
3	14			17					3		12	12	32	42			
2									2	1_1	1_{1}	1_1	2_1	2_1			
1	10		1_1		1_1	1_4	1_1	1 ₁ , 1	4 1		1_{-2}	1_{-2}	1_{-2}	2_{-2}	$2_{-2}, 1_{-5}$	1_{-5}	

FIGURE 1. Computer generated tables of $HGC_{m,n}$ in even codimension n - m (left: n = m = 2, right: n = m = 3) taken from [13], with the cancellations induced by the bracket with the line graph marked. The rows indicate the number of hairs (\uparrow), the columns the loop order (\rightarrow). A table entry 1₃ means that there the degree 3 subspace is one-dimensional.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	18								9		16						
8		1 ₇	1 ₇						8								
7			1_8	1_{6}					7	15	15	25					
6		1_{6}	1_{6}	1 ₆ , 1 ₇					6			1 ₂					
5	14		1 ₅	$1_5, 1_7$	25				5		1_2	2 ₂	32	5 ₂			
4		1 ₃		$1_5, 1_6$	1_{6}				4			1 ₁	11	11			
3			1_{4}		14				3	1_1	1	2_{1}	2_{1}	3 ₁			
2		1_{2}			15				2					1_2	1_{-2}		
1	10		1_1		1_1	1_4	1_1	$1_1, 1_4$	1		1_{-2}	1_{-2}	1_{-2}	2-2	$2_{-2}, 1_{-5}$	1_{-5}	

FIGURE 2. Computer generated tables of $HGC_{m,n}$ in odd codimension (left: n = 2, m = 1, right: n = 3, m = 2) taken from [13], with the cancellations induced by the bracket with the tripod graph marked.

j-colored hairs carrying cohomological degree m_j . The complex HGC_{$m_1,...,m_N;n$} splits according to the loop order r and the number of hairs in each color $\underline{k} = (k_1, ..., k_N)$. By similar considerations as above we then find a spectral sequence (similarly associated to the filtration by defect) relating the decorated to the (colored) hairy graph cohomology

$$H(\mathrm{GC}^{r}_{\mathrm{HH}^{\underline{k}}_{A_{d}}\otimes\mathrm{Det}^{\otimes n}})[rn-n] \Rightarrow \mathrm{HGC}^{\underline{k},r}_{m_{1},\dots,m_{N};n},$$

where $d = (n - m_1 - 2, ..., n - m_N - 2)$ and $A_{\underline{d}}$ is as in Subsection 3.2. As above, the commutative algebra $A_{\underline{d}}$ is formal and may be replaced by its homology $A'_{\underline{d}}$. The first term of the obtained spectral sequence is $H(\operatorname{GC}^r_{\operatorname{HH}^k_{A_{\underline{d}}}\otimes\operatorname{Det}^{\otimes n}})[rn-n]$ and is also concentrated on two columns. Unfortunately, in this case we cannot generally compute $\operatorname{HH}(A_d)$, in contrast to the N = 1 situation.

7. Hairy graph-homology in the loop order r = 2

For the loop order r = 2, the homology of the hairy graph-complexes HGC_{*m,n*} were computed in [7]. Surprisingly the computations were much harder in the case of even codimension, to which our paper is an explanation. In fact our theorems 1 and 2 can can substantially simplify those computations especially in the difficult case of odd codimension reducing it to the even case. First and a practical remark is that in the graph-complex GC^{*r*}_{*F*} for any homotopy coefficient system *F* we can ignore the graphs with cut vertices. The proof is completely analogous

to the case of constant coefficients [4, Theorem 1.1] (see also [7, Theorem 3.1] where this is proved for the hairy graph-complexes). In the case of loop order 2 there is only one graph without cut vertices, which we denote by θ :



In other words, we get

$$\mathrm{GC}_F^2 \simeq (F^* \otimes \mathrm{Or})^{G_\theta},$$

where $G_{\theta} = S_3 \times S_2$ is the group of symmetries of θ . The sign factor Or is responsible for the permutation of edges, thus it is the sign representation of the factor S_3 . One can also easily see that in this case Or = Det. In particular if V is a finite dimensional Out(F_2) representation concentrated in degree zero, one has

(28)
$$H^{i}(\operatorname{GC}_{V}^{2}) = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq 3; \\ (V^{*} \otimes \operatorname{Det})^{G_{\theta}}, & i = 3, \end{cases}$$

The cohomology is concentrated in degree 3, since θ has 3 edges and corresponds to a 3-dimensional orbicell of OS₂. From equation (28) one immediately gets

(29)
$$H^{3}(GC^{2}_{S^{2k-1}H_{1}}) = H^{3}(GC^{2}_{\text{Det}\otimes S^{2k-1}H_{1}}) = 0.$$

Indeed, the symmetry of θ that preserves edges and flips the vertices acts as -1 on H_1 and thus as $(-1)^{2k-1} = -1$ on $S^{2k-1}H_1$, while Det produces a positive sign. This in particular means that the splitting $\text{HGC}_{m,n}^{2,h,I} = \text{HGC}_{m,n}^{2,h,I} \oplus \text{HGC}_{m,n}^{2,h,I}$ of Theorem 1 is trivial in homology in the sense that one of the two terms of the splitting is always acyclic. Computations made in [7], specifically its [7, Theorems 6.1 and 6.2], imply

(30)
$$H^{3}(\mathrm{GC}^{2}_{S^{2k}H_{1}}) = \mathbb{K}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \rfloor}; \qquad H^{3}(\mathrm{GC}^{2}_{\mathrm{Det}\otimes S^{2k}H_{1}}) = \mathbb{K}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \rfloor + 1}.$$

A similar situation takes place in odd codimension as well. The spectral sequence of Theorem 2 always abuts at the first term for r = 2 as this term E_1 always has only one non-trivial column. Indeed, $Out(F_2) = GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and thus representations B_2^h obviously factor through $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (contrary to the case $r \ge 3$). Moreover, easy computations show that

(31)
$$B_2^{2k-1} \simeq S^k H_1$$
 and $B_2^{2k} \simeq \text{Det} \otimes S^{k-1} H_1$

This together with (29) implies that one of the two columns in E_1 is always zero. Theorem 2 together with (28-31) recover the computations [7, Theorems 6.3 and 6.4] of the hairy graph-homology for r = 2 in the odd case.

8. Application to the deformation theory of the little discs operads

As we mentioned in the introduction, the hairy graph-complexes $HGC_{m,n}$ appear naturally in the relative deformation theory of the little discs operads. In this section we briefly recall how exactly they appear and also we explain how our main results Theorems 1 and 2 are related and actually give a simpler proof to some earlier results of the authors obtained in [25, 23] about the relative deformations of little discs operads in codimensions 0 and 1.

In this section we use freely the language of operads. A good introduction into the subject can be found in the textbook [19], whose conventions we mostly follow.

We use the notation $\mathcal{P}{k}$ for the *k*-fold operadic desuspension. The operads governing commutative, associative and Lie algebras are denoted by Com, Assoc and Lie respectively. The *n*-Poisson operad Pois_n governs (non-unital) commutative algebras with an additional Lie bracket of degree 1 - n, which is a derivation with respect to the commutative product. It contains as a sub-operads Com and the desuspended Lie operad Lie_n := Lie{n - 1}.

For a coaugmented cooperad *C* we denote by $\Omega(C)$ its cobar construction, cf. [19, section 6.5]. If \mathcal{P} is a quadratic Koszul operad, we denote its Koszul dual cooperad by \mathcal{P}^{\vee} . In this case we often use the notation ho \mathcal{P} for the cobar construction of the Koszul dual, e.g.,

$$hoAss = \Omega(Assoc^{\vee})$$
 $hoPois_n = \Omega(Pois_n^{\vee})$ $hoLie_n = \Omega(Lie_n^{\vee})$ $etc...$

The quadratic operads considered above are well-known to be Koszul. One has $Assoc^{\vee} = Assoc^{*}\{1\}$ (the cooperad dual to Assoc operadically desuspended once); $Com^{\vee} = Lie^{*}\{1\}$; $Lie_{n}^{\vee} = Com^{*}\{n\}$; $Pois_{n}^{\vee} = Pois_{n}^{*}\{n\}$.

For any morphism of dg operads $\mathcal{P} \to Q$, one can define the deformation complex $Def(\mathcal{P} \to Q)$ which is the complex of derivations of the composite map $\hat{\mathcal{P}} \to \mathcal{P} \to Q$ (where $\hat{\mathcal{P}} \to \mathcal{P}$ is a cofibrant replacement of \mathcal{P}) shifted in degree by one, so that it is endowed with a natural L_{∞} structure [15, 19]. In case $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ is a cobar construction of a dg cooperad, the induced L_{∞} structure is a dg Lie algebra structure.

By E_n we denote the operad of singular chains of the little discs operads. Its homology operad is the associative operad Assoc if n = 1, and the operad Pois_n if n > 1. It has been shown in [12, 23] that the natural inclusion

 $E_m \to E_n$ is rationally a formal map of operads if and only if $n - m \neq 1$.⁸ Thus in case $n - m \neq 1$, the deformation complex $\text{Def}(E_m \to E_n)$ is equivalent to the deformation complex of the induced map of operads in homology. In particular, in case n > m + 1 > 2,

$$\operatorname{Def}(E_m \to E_n) \simeq \operatorname{Def}(\operatorname{Pois}_m \xrightarrow{*} \operatorname{Pois}_n),$$

where $\operatorname{Pois}_m \xrightarrow{*} \operatorname{Pois}_n$ denotes the composite map $\operatorname{Pois}_m \to \operatorname{Com} \to \operatorname{Pois}_n$ of the obvious projection followed by an inclusion.

Kontsevich's operad Graphs_n (see [14]) is an operad whose space of *r*-ary operations $\text{Graphs}_n(r)$ consists of linear combinations of isomorphism classes of graphs with *r* numbered "external" vertices and an arbitrary number of unnumbered "internal" vertices. These graphs are required to satisfy the additional conditions:

- All internal vertices have at least valence 3.
- Each connected component contains at least one external vertex.

The following picture shows an examples of such an admissible graph.



The cohomological degree of a graph Γ is the number

n(#(internal vertices) – 1) – (n – 1)#(edges).

For more details, signs, and the definition of the differential and the operad structure we refer the reader to [15, 16, 23].

The key result is that the operad $Graphs_n$ forms a model for the homology operad of the little *n*-disks operad for $n \ge 2$.

Theorem 4 (Kontsevich [14], Lambrechts-Volić [16]). *There is a quasi-isomorphism of operads* $\text{Pois}_n \to \text{Graphs}_n$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We may now consider the deformation complex

(32)

(33)

 $Def(hoPois_m \xrightarrow{*} Graphs_n),$

where the map to be deformed is the composition

$$hoPois_m \rightarrow Pois_m \rightarrow Com \rightarrow Graphs_n$$
.

Concretely, as a graded vector space the above deformation complex is isomorphic to

$$\operatorname{Def}(\operatorname{hoPois}_{m} \xrightarrow{*} \operatorname{Graphs}_{n}) \cong \prod_{r \ge 1} \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{r}}(\operatorname{Pois}_{m}^{*}\{m\}(r), \operatorname{Graphs}_{n}(r))$$

One defines the sub-complex

$$\mathrm{fHGC}_{m,n} \subset \mathrm{Def}(\mathrm{hoPois}_m \xrightarrow{*} \mathrm{Graphs}_n)$$

to be spanned by maps that satisfy the following two conditions:

- The image is a series of graphs all of whose external vertices are univalent.
- The map factors through $\mathsf{Pois}_m^*\{m\} \to \mathsf{Com}^*\{m\}$.

Elements of $\text{fHGC}_{m,n}$ are naturally identified with series of (not necessarily connected) "hairy" graphs as depicted in (1). The cohomological degree of such a graph is computed as the number

$$n$$
#(internal vertices) – $(n - 1)$ #(edges) + m (#(hairs) – 1).

We cite the following result from the literature.

Theorem 5 ([1], [23]). *The inclusion* (33) *is a quasi-isomorphism.*

⁸See also [16], where this result has been established earlier for a weaker range of dimensions.

Any hairy graph is naturally a union of its connected pieces. Hence we may identify $fHGC_{m,n}$ with the completed symmetric product space of the connected subcomplex

$$\operatorname{HGC}_{m,n} \subset \operatorname{fHGC}_{m,n} = S^+ (\operatorname{HGC}_{m,n}[-m])[m].$$

(The sign + in S^+ means that the degree zero term is omitted.) Finally, let us note that the deformation complex (32) above is naturally a dg Lie algebra, and the subspaces

$$HGC_{m,n} \subset fHGC_{m,n} \subset Def(hoPois_m \rightarrow Graphs_n)$$

are closed under the Lie bracket, so that the spaces $HGC_{m,n}$ and $fHGC_{m,n}$ carry natural dg Lie algebra structures. The Lie bracket in question is described by (15), see [23].

8.1. Little discs deformations in codimensions 0 and 1. As we explained above, because of the relative formality of the little discs operads in codimension n - m > 1, for any field K of characteristic zero, the deformation complex $\text{Def}(E_m \to E_n)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\text{fHGC}_{m,n} = S^+ (\text{HGC}_{m,n}[-m])[m]$. Recall Theorem 3 which describes the loop orders zero and one of the hairy graph-homology $H(\text{HGC}_{m,n})$. Theorems 1 and 2 deal with the loop order $r \ge 2$ of the hairy graph-homology. These two theorems are respectively related to the two results [25, Theorem 1.3] and [23, Theorem 4] obtained earlier by the authors:

Theorem 6 (Willwacher [25]). *For* $n \ge 2$,

(34)

$$H(\operatorname{Def}(E_n \xrightarrow{id} E_n)) \simeq H(\operatorname{Def}(\operatorname{Pois}_n \xrightarrow{id} \operatorname{Pois}_n)) = S^+ \left(\mathbb{K}[-n-1] \oplus V_n[-n-1] \oplus \prod_{r \ge 2} H(\operatorname{GC}_{\operatorname{Det}^{\otimes n}})[nr-n-1] \right) [n],$$

where $\mathbb{K}[-n-1]$ is a one-dimensional space spanned by the only class in loop order zero; V_n stands for the space of classes of loop order one (so called wheels):

(35)
$$V_n = \bigoplus_{\substack{j \ge 1 \\ j \equiv 2n+1 \mod 4}} \mathbb{K}[n-j];$$

the rest is the product whose r-th term describes the homology of loop order r.

Willwacher proves the above theorem by showing that the deformation complex $\text{Def}(\text{Pois}_n \xrightarrow{id} \text{Pois}_n)$ is equivalent to the full hairy graph-complex $\text{fHGC}_{n,n}$ with deformation being the initial differential (sum of expansions of internal vertices) plus the bracket with the line graph *L*. This perturbation on the level of generators does not change the homology in loop order zero and one, but in loop order $r \ge 2$ it kills all the repeated terms described by Theorem 1, leaving only the part of the homology arising from $\text{HGC}_{n,n}^{r,1,II}$.

Theorem 7 (Turchin and Willwacher [23]). For $n \ge 2$,

(36)
$$H(\operatorname{Def}(E_{n-1} \to E_n)) \simeq S^+ \left(\mathbb{K}[-n] \oplus V_n[-n] \oplus \prod_{r \ge 2} H(\operatorname{GC}^r_{\operatorname{Det}^{\otimes n}})[nr-n] \right) [n-1],$$

where $\mathbb{K}[-n]$ is a one-dimensional space spanned by the only class (the tripod T) in loop order zero; V_n stands for the space of classes of loop order one (35); the rest is the product whose r-th term describes the homology of loop order r.

Again the proof is obtained by showing that $Def(E_{n-1} \rightarrow E_n)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $fHGC_{n-1,n}$ with the differential perturbed by a certain Maurer-Cartan element which contains as a summand the tripod graph T. This explains why on the level of generators in loop order $r \ge 2$ all the repeated terms described by Theorem 2 are killed. (Theorem 2 could simplify or rather enlighten the proof of Theorem 7 given in [23], where we used a different combinatorial approach to tackle this problem.) We also warn the reader that strictly speaking the loop order in $Def(E_{n-1} \rightarrow E_n)$ is defined only as a filtration as the Maurer-Cartan element in question might have graphs of a positive loop order. The fact that one gets a non-trivial cancellation in the deformation homology was used in [23] to prove that the map of operads $E_{n-1} \rightarrow E_n$ is not formal.

8.2. Relative versus target deformations. One could consider the natural map

(37)
$$\operatorname{Def}(E_n \xrightarrow{u} E_n) \to \operatorname{Def}(E_m \to E_n)$$

and the induced map in homology. In other words the question is to describe how the deformations of the target can be seen as a part of relative deformations of the little discs operads.

· ,

The hardest case is when the map $E_m \rightarrow E_n$ is not formal, i.e. when m = n - 1. In this case the authors gave a partial answer [23, Theorem 2] which states that under the map (37), the space of generators of (34) under the

cup product is sent isomorphically to the space of generators of (36).⁹ We also believe, but were not able to prove, that the elements obtained by taking cup product in (34) (symmetric powers of order \geq 2) are sent to zero.

Theorems 1-2 help to solve this problem in the easy case $n - m \ge 2$.

Theorem 8. For $n - m \ge 2$, the map

$$H(\text{Def}(E_n \xrightarrow{id} E_n)) \to H(\text{Def}(E_m \to E_n))$$

(38) sends

- the only loop order zero generator of (34) to zero;
- the loop order one generators to zero except the 1-wheel, which is sent to the graph-cycle \frown (this happens when n is even, otherwise all 1-loop classes with no exception are sent to zero);
- all the loop order ≥ 2 generators of (34) isomorphically to the corresponding piece of the homology of $HGC_{m,n}^{r,1,II}$,¹⁰
- all the elements obtained by taking a cup-product (i.e. elements from any symmetric power of order ≥ 2) to zero.

Proof. Because of the relative formality result it is enough to study the map

(39)
$$\operatorname{Def}(\operatorname{Pois}_n \xrightarrow{u} \operatorname{Pois}_n) \to \operatorname{Def}(\operatorname{Pois}_m \xrightarrow{*} \operatorname{Pois}_n).$$

(In fact for m = 1 we need to consider the operad Assoc instead of Pois₁, but the argument given below will still work as Def(Assoc $\stackrel{*}{\rightarrow}$ Pois_n) is also equivalent to the full hairy graph-complex fHGC_{1,n}, see [22].) Since the map Pois_m $\stackrel{*}{\rightarrow}$ Pois_n factors through the commutative operad Com, the map (39) can itself be viewed as a composition

(40)
$$\operatorname{Def}(\operatorname{Pois}_n \xrightarrow{id} \operatorname{Pois}_n) \to \operatorname{Def}(\operatorname{Com} \to \operatorname{Pois}_n) \to \operatorname{Def}(\operatorname{Pois}_m \xrightarrow{*} \operatorname{Pois}_n).$$

The complex $\text{Def}(\text{Com} \to \text{Pois}_n)$ is modeled by the complex of derivations of the map $\text{hoCom} \xrightarrow{*} \text{Graphs}_n$ with the latter one by a similar argument quasi-isomorphic to the subcomplex of $\text{HGC}_{m,n} \subset \text{fHGC}_{m,n}$ spanned by the graphs with only one hair. This subcomplex $\prod_{r\geq 1} \text{HGC}_{m,n}^{r,1}$ does not depend on *m* and will be denoted by HGC_n^1 . The second map in (40) is thus modeled by the inclusion $\text{HGC}_n^1 \hookrightarrow \text{fHGC}_{m,n}$. On the other hand, the complex $\text{Def}(\text{Pois}_n \xrightarrow{id} \text{Pois}_n)$ is modeled by the complex of derivations of the quasi-isomorphism $\text{hoPois}_n \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Graphs}_n$, which according to [25] is quasi-isomorphic to $\text{HGC}_{n,n}^L$ – the hairy graph-complex $\text{HGC}_{n,n}$ with the differential deformed by the Maurer-Cartan element *L*. One has that the square

commutes. Thus the first map in (40) is modeled by the projection

$$\mathrm{fHGC}_{n,n}^L \to \mathrm{HGC}_n^1,$$

sending all graphs with ≥ 2 hairs to zero and the graphs with exactly one hair to themselves. From this explicit description of the map (37) as the composition

$$\mathrm{fHGC}_{n,n}^L \to \mathrm{HGC}_n^1 \to \mathrm{fHGC}_{m,n}$$

the result easily follows.

Remark 4. One can also ask how the deformations of the source are seen in the relative deformations of the little discs operads or in other words one can look at the induced map in the homology of the natural map

$$\operatorname{Def}(E_m \xrightarrow{\iota d} E_m) \to \operatorname{Def}(E_m \to E_n)$$

In case of codimension $n - m \ge 2$ this map is trivial as it factors through $\text{Def}(E_m \xrightarrow{*} \text{Com})$ which has trivial homology.

⁹In other words, the E_{n-1} deformations are rigid within the E_n structure being all induced by the E_n deformations. We call this fact *algebraic Cerf lemma* in [23].

¹⁰Notice that the complex HGC^{r,1}_{m,n} does not depend on m, and thus the splitting of Theorem 1 HGC^{r,1}_{m,n} = HGC^{r,1,I}_{m,n} \oplus HGC^{r,1,II} takes place for the odd codimension as well. In terms of graphs, the map (38) sends a bald graph to the sum of graphs obtained by attaching a hair in one of its vertices.

9. Application: Serre fibrations whose fiber is a wedge of circles

Lemma 1 about the splitting of the coefficient system $C_{\bullet} \cong \mathbb{K} \oplus \tilde{C}_{\bullet}$ is related to a curious topological phenomenon that the analogue of the Euler class for fibrations of wedges of ≥ 2 circles is always rationally trivial and as a consequence we prove that the following result holds.

Theorem 9. The rational (co)homology Serre spectral sequence associated to any Serre fibration whose fiber is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of $r \ge 2$ circles abuts at the second page.

We start with the following lemma. The proof of the theorem is given in Subsection 9.2.

Lemma 2. For any $r \ge 2$, all the components of the monoid $G(\lor_r S^1)$ of self homotopy equivalences of $\lor_r S^1$ are weakly contractible and $\pi_0 G(\lor_r S^1) = \text{Out}(F_r)$.

Proof. Consider the Serre fibration

(42) $G(\vee_r S^1) \to \vee_r S^1,$

obtained by taking the image of the base point. Its fiber is the monoid $G_*(\vee_r S^1)$ of pointed self-homotopy equivalences. The latter space has all components contractible as this is true for $\Omega(\vee_r S^1)$. Thus, $G_*(\vee_r S^1) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(F_r)$. The homotopy fiber of the map $G_*(\vee_r S^1) \to G(\vee_r S^1)$ is $\Omega(\vee_r S^1) \simeq F_r$ by the general properties of fibration sequences. On the other hand, for $r \ge 2$, the map $F_r \to \operatorname{Aut}(F_r)$ (each element *x* is sent to the conjugation by *x*) is injective, thus its fiber (which is equivalent to $\Omega G(\vee_r S^1)$ from our fibration sequence) is contractible. Thus the connected component of the identity (and therefore every component of $G(\vee_r S^1)$ since its π_0 is a group) is weakly contractible. From the long exact sequence for fibration (42), we get $\pi_0 G(\vee_r S^1) = \operatorname{Out}(F_r)$ and $\pi_i G(\vee_r S^1) = 0$ for $i \ge 1$.

9.1. **Analogue of the Euler class.** Recall that for a Serre fibration $F \to E \to B$ whose fiber F is a circle, the Euler class $e \in H^2(B, H_1(F))$ is defined as the obstruction to the existence of a section. In fact it is an obstruction of extension of a section from the 1-skeleton of B to its 2-skeleton. (It does not depend on the choice of the section over the 1-skeleton.) In case $F \simeq \bigvee_r S^1$ the obstruction of a section can be similarly defined as a certain class $e_r \in H^2(B, H_1(F))$. In particular if a section exists, then this class is zero. More generally for any ring \mathbb{K} of coefficients and assuming that B is a regular CW complex, if for any cell of B one can find a formal \mathbb{K} linear combination $\sum_i \alpha_i s_i$, with $\sum_i \alpha_i = 1$, of sections s_i which glue together compatibly on the boundary, then the image e_r of this class in $H^2(B, H_1(F, \mathbb{K}))$ must also be zero. We call such an object a \mathbb{K} -linear section. In case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$ we call it also a rational section.

Theorem 10. For any Serre fibration $F \to E \to B$ with F homotopy equivalent to a wedge of $r \ge 2$ circles, the class $e_r \in H^2(B, H_1(F, \mathbb{Q}))$ is trivial.

Proof. Serve fibrations $F \to E \to B$ with fiber $F \simeq \vee_r S^1$ are classified by maps $f: B \to BG(\vee_r S^1)$, where, for $r \ge 2$, the latter space is equivalent to $BOut(F_r)$ by Lemma 2. The obstruction class e_r for $F \to E \to B$ is the pullback of the analogous class that we denote by e_r of the canonical $\vee_r S^1$ bundle over $BOut(F_r)$. Thus it is enough to show that e_r is trivial.

Rationally, instead of $BOutF_r$ one can use the orbispace OS_r – the moduli space of graphs. Whilst the role of the canonical $\lor_r S^1$ fibration is played by the Serre orbifibration $\pi S : WOS_r \to OS_r$, where WOS_r is the space of pairs (Γ, x) with Γ a metric graph in OS_r and x a point in Γ/G_{Γ} (here G_{Γ} is as before the group of symmetries of Γ). It is a Serre orbifibration in the sense that it is obtained as the quotient by the $Out(F_r)$ of the actual Serre fibration $\pi : WO_r \to O_r$, where similarly WO_r is the space of pairs – a graph Γ in O_r (i.e. a graph together with a class of a homotopy equivalence $\lor_r S^1 \to \Gamma$) and a point x in Γ . The space WO_r has an obvious $Out(F_r)$ action, such that π is $Out(F_r)$ -equivariant and one gets $WO_r/Out(F_r) = WOS_r$.

The orbifibration πS admits a rational orbi-section assigning to an element $\Gamma \in OS_r$ a linear combination of the vertices of Γ (as in the proof of Lemma 1):

$$\sum_{v\in V\Gamma}\frac{|v|-2}{2r-2}v.$$

(Again it is an orbi-section in the sense that it is obtained from an $Out(F_r)$ -equivariant section of π , given by the same formula.)

Remark 5. In fact we can avoid the "orbi-langauge" in the proof by considering instead of πS the actual Serre fibration

$$(WO_r \times EOut(F_r))/Out(F_r) \rightarrow (O_r \times EOut(F_r))/Out(F_r),$$

where $EOut(F_r)$ is a weakly contractible space with a free $Out(F_r)$ action such that its quotient by $Out(F_r)$ is $BOut(F_r)$. The fibers of the map above are again metric graphs, thus a rational section can be defined similarly.

9.2. **Proof of Theorem 9.** Consider the Serre rational cohomology spectral sequence for a fibration $F \to E \to B$ with $F \simeq \bigvee_r S^1$, $r \ge 2$. Its second term $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(B, H^q(F, \mathbb{Q}))$ is concentrated on two horizontal lines q = 0 and 1. The differential d_2 : $H^p(B, H^1(F, \mathbb{Q})) \to H^{p+2}(B, H^0(F, \mathbb{Q}))$ is the composition

$$H^{p}(B, H^{1}(F, \mathbb{Q})) \xrightarrow{e_{r}^{\mathbb{Q}} \cup (-)} H^{p+2}(B, H^{1}(F, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_{1}(F, \mathbb{Q})) \to H^{p+2}(B, \mathbb{Q}) = H^{p+2}(B, H^{0}(F, \mathbb{Q})),$$

where the first map is the cup-product with the analogue of the Euler class considered in the previous subsection, and the second map is induced by the obvious homomorphism of the coefficient systems. By Theorem 10, $e_r = 0$, and thus $d_2 = 0$. All other d_i , $i \ge 3$, are trivial by dimensional reason.

References

- G. Arone and V. Turchin. On the rational homology of high dimensional analogues of spaces of long knots. *Geom. Topol.* 18 (2014) 1261–1322.
- [2] Gregory Arone and Victor Turchin. Graph-complexes computing the rational homotopy of high dimensional analogues of spaces of long knots. Annales de l'Institut Fourier 65 (2015), no. 1, 1–62.
- [3] Martin Bridson and André Haefliger. Metric spaces of non-positive curvature. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 319. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [4] James Conant, Ferenc Gerlits, and Karen Vogtmann. Cut vertices in commutative graphs. Q. J. Math. 56 (2005), no. 3, 321–336.
- [5] James Conant, Martin Kassabov, and Karen Vogtmann. Hairy graphs and the unstable homology of Mod(g, s), $Out(F_n)$ and $Aut(F_n)$. J. Topol. 6 (2013), no. 1, 119–153.
- [6] James Conant, Martin Kassabov, and Karen Vogtmann. Higher hairy graph homology. Geom. Dedicata 176 (2015), 345-374.
- [7] Jim Conant, Jean Costello, Victor Turchin, and Patrick Weed. Two-loop part of the rational homotopy of spaces of long embeddings. J. Knot Theory Ram. 23 (4), 2014.
- [8] Marc Culler and Karen Vogtmann. Moduli of graphs and automorphisms of free groups. Invent. Math. 84 (1986), no. 1, 91–119.
- [9] Justin M. Curry. Sheaves, cosheaves and applications. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Pennsylvania, 2014. arXiv:1303.3255.
- [10] Benoit Fresse. Homotopy of Operads and Grothendieck-Teichmüller Groups. Book in preparation.
- [11] Benoit Fresse, Victor Turchin and Thomas Willwacher. Mapping spaces of the E_n operads. In preparation, 2015.
- [12] Benoit Fresse and Thomas Willwacher. The intrinsic formality of E_n -operads. arXiv:1503.08699
- [13] Anton Khoroshkin, Thomas Willwacher and Marko Živković Differentials on graph complexes II hairy graphs. arXiv:1508.01281, 2015.
- [14] Maxim Kontsevich. Operads and Motives in Deformation Quantization. Lett. Math. Phys., 48:35–72, 1999.
- [15] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman. Deformations of algebras over operads and the Deligne conjecture. In Conférence Mosché Flato 1999, Vol. I (Dijon), volume 21 of Math. Phys. Stud., 225–307, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
- [16] Pascal Lambrechts and Ismar Volić. Formality of the little N-disks operad, 2008. arXiv:0808.0457.
- [17] Andrey Lazarev and Alexander Voronov. Graph-homology: Koszul and Verdier duality. Adv. Math., 218 (2008), no. 6, 1878–1894.
- [18] Jean-Louis Loday. Cyclic homology. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 301. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [19] Jean-Louis Loday and Bruno Vallette. Algebraic Operads. Number 346 in Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [20] Teimuraz Pirashvili. Hodge decomposition for higher order Hochschild homology, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup (4) 33 (2000), no. 2, 151–179.
- [21] Paul-Arnaud Songhafouo Tsopméné and Victor Turchin. Hodge decomposition in the rational homology and homotopy of high dimensional string links. arXiv:1504.00896, 2015.
- [22] Victor Turchin. Hodge-type decomposition in the homology of long knots. J. Topol., 3(3):487-534, 2010.
- [23] Victor Turchin and Thomas Willwacher. Relative (non-)formality of the little cubes operads and the algebraic Cerf Lemma, arXiv:1409.0163, 2014.
- [24] Victor Turchin and Thomas Willwacher. Hochschild-Pirashvili homology on suspensions and representations of $Out(F_n)$, arXiv:1507.08483, 2015.
- [25] Thomas Willwacher. M. Kontsevich's graph complex and the Grothendieck-Teichmüller Lie algebra, *Invent. Math.*, 200 (2015), no. 3, 671–760.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, 138 CARDWELL HALL, MANHATAN, KS 66506, USA *E-mail address*: turchin@ksu.edu

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZURICH, WINTERTHURERSTRASSE 190, 8057 ZURICH, SWITZERLAND *E-mail address*: thomas.willwacher@math.uzh.ch